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#### Abstract

Let $N=p q$ be an RSA modulus, i.e. the product of two large unknown primes of equal bit-size. In this paper, we describe an attack on RSA in the presence of two or three exponents $e_{i}$ with the same modulus $N$ and satisfying equations $e_{i} x_{i}-\phi(N) y_{i}=z_{i}$, where $\phi(N)=(p-1)(q-1)$ and $x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}$ are unknown parameters. The new attack is an extension of Guo's continued fraction attack as well as the Blömer and May lattice-reduction basis attack.
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## 1 Introduction

The RSA public-key cryptosystem was invented by Rivest, Shamir, and Adleman [9] in 1978. Since then, the RSA system has been the best known and most widely accepted public key cryptosystem. Encryption and decryption in RSA each requires an exponentiation modulo a large modulus $N$ which is the product of two large primes, $p$ and $q$. The exponents in the exponentiations are the public exponent $e$ for encryption and the private exponent $d$ for decryption. The exponents $e$ and $d$ are related by the equation $e d-k \phi(N)=1$ for some positive integer $k$ where $\phi(N)=(p-1)(q-1)$ is the Euler totient function of $N$. To reduce the decryption time or signature generation, it may be tempting to use a small private exponent $d$. Unfortunately, based on the convergents of the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{e}{N}$, Wiener [10] showed that the RSA system can be totally broken if $d<\frac{1}{3} N^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Then, in 1999, based on lattice basis reduction, Boneh and Durfee [2] proposed a new attack on the use of short secret exponents. They showed that the RSA system can be totally broken if $d<N^{0.292}$. In 1994, Blömer and May [1] proposed a different attack on RSA with a public exponent $e$ satisfying the equation $e x+y=k \phi(N)$ with $x<\frac{1}{3} N^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and $|y|<\mathcal{O}\left(N^{-\frac{3}{4}} e x\right)$. This attack combines the convergents of the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{e}{N}$ and the seminal work of Coppersmith [3] for solving bivariate polynomial equations.

In 1999, Guo (see [6]) proposed an attack on RSA when there are two or more instances of RSA, having the same modulus, with public exponents $e_{i}$, $i=1,2, \ldots$. The attack is based on the continued fraction algorithm and can be used to factor the modulus if the private exponents $d_{i}$ are each less than $N^{\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon}$ for some $\varepsilon>0$. In 1999, Howgrave-Graham and Seifert [6] proposed an extension of Guo's attack that allows the RSA system to be broken in the presence of two decryption exponents $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}\right)$ with $d_{1}, d_{2}<N^{\frac{5}{14}}$. In the presence of three decryption exponents, Howgrave-Graham and Seifert improved the bound to $N^{\frac{2}{5}}$. The attack of Howgrave-Graham and Seifert is based on lattice reduction methods. Very recently, Sarkar and Maitra [7] used a different lattice based technique and improved the bound $N^{\frac{5}{14}}$ for the case of two decryption exponents up to $N^{0.416}$. In [7], Sarkar and Maitra proposed a generalized attack when $n \geq 2$ many decryption exponents $d_{i}$ are used with the same RSA modulus $N$ and $d_{i}<N^{\frac{3 n-1}{4 n+4}}$ for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$.

In this paper, we combine the attack of Guo and the attack of Blömer and May to mount a new attack on RSA with two or three decryption exponents and a common modulus. Let $N=p q$ be an RSA modulus with $q<p<2 q$ and $e_{i}, i=1,2, \ldots$, be two or three public exponents. Assume that each exponent satisfies an equation $e_{i} x_{i}-\phi(N) y_{i}=z_{i}$. We show, that, depending on certain inequalities verified by the parameters $x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}$, one can find the factorization of the RSA modulus $N$. The new approach still uses the continued fraction algorithm and the lattice-reduction basis technique of Coppersmith 3].

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we present the attack of Guo as well as the attack of Blömer and May. In Section 3, we prove three lemmas to be used in our new approach. We present the new approach for two exponents in Section 4 and for three exponents in Section 5. We conclude the paper in Section 6.

## 2 Former Attacks

Since the motivation for our new attack originates from Guo's continued fraction attack and the Blömer and May lattice attack, we revisit these attacks in this section.

### 2.1 Guo's attack for two exponents

Guo's attack was described in [6] by Howgrave-Graham and Seifert (see also [5]). It is based on the continued fraction algorithm and makes use of the following result (see 4], Theorem 184).

Theorem 1 (Legendre). Let $\xi$ be a real number. If $a$ and $b$ are coprime integers such that

$$
\left|\xi-\frac{a}{b}\right|<\frac{1}{2 b^{2}}
$$

then $\frac{a}{b}$ is a convergent of the continued fraction expansion of $\xi$.

Guo's attack concerns at least two public exponents $e_{1}, e_{2}$ such that $e_{1} d_{1}-$ $k_{1} \phi(N)=1$ and $e_{2} d_{2}-k_{2} \phi(N)=1$, where $\phi(N)=(p-1)(q-1)$. Eliminating $\phi(N)$, we find the equation $e_{1} d_{1} k_{2}-e_{2} d_{2} k_{1}=k_{2}-k_{1}$. Dividing by $e_{2} d_{1} k_{2}$, we get

$$
\left|\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}}-\frac{d_{2} k_{1}}{d_{1} k_{2}}\right|=\frac{\left|k_{2}-k_{1}\right|}{e_{2} d_{1} k_{2}} .
$$

Hence, if $2\left|k_{2}-k_{1}\right| d_{1} k_{2}<e_{2}$, then

$$
\frac{\left|k_{2}-k_{1}\right|}{e_{2} d_{1} k_{2}}<\frac{1}{2\left(d_{1} k_{2}\right)^{2}} .
$$

Thus, by Theorem $11 \frac{d_{2} k_{1}}{d_{1} k_{2}}$ must be one of the convergents of the continued fraction of $\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}}$. Moreover, if $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ are bounded, $d_{1}<N^{\delta}, d_{2}<N^{\delta}$ say, then $k_{1}$ and $k_{2}$ are also bounded since for $e_{i}<\phi(N)$ we have

$$
k_{i}=\frac{e_{i} d_{i}-1}{\phi(N)}<\frac{e_{i} d_{i}}{\phi(N)}<d_{i}
$$

It follows that the condition $2\left|k_{2}-k_{1}\right| d_{1} k_{2}<e_{2}$ reduces to $2 N^{3 \delta}<N$, or equivalently $\delta<\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon$, where $\varepsilon$ is a small positive constant.

In practice, Guo's attack is effective if one can find $d_{1}$ or $d_{2}$ using the convergent $\frac{d_{2} k_{1}}{d_{1} k_{2}}$. This means that the quantities $d_{i}, k_{i}, i=1,2$, must satisfy $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1} k_{2}, d_{2} k_{1}\right)=1$. Moreover, it is necessary to factor $d_{1} k_{2}$ or $d_{2} k_{1}$. Since $k_{i}<d_{i}<N^{\delta}, i=1,2$, then $\max \left(d_{1} k_{2}, d_{2} k_{1}\right)<N^{2 \delta}<N^{\frac{2}{3}}$. Depending on the structure of the quantities $d_{i}$ and $k_{i}, i=1,2$, the numbers $d_{1} k_{2}$ and $d_{2} k_{1}$ are not expected to be of a difficult factorization shape and can be factored easily. Using the exact values of $d_{1}$ and $k_{1}$ in $e_{1} d_{1}-k_{1} \phi(N)=1$, this gives the factorization of $N$.

Later, using lattice based techniques, Howgrave-Graham and Seifert [6] increased the bound up to $d_{1}, d_{2}<N^{\frac{5}{14}}$. This bound was recently improved to $d_{1}, d_{2}<N^{0.416}$ by Sarkar and Maitra [7].

### 2.2 Guo's attack for three exponents

To avoid the factorization problem, Guo proposed to use three exponents. Consider that three public exponents $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ satisfying the key equations

$$
e_{1} d_{1}-k_{1} \phi(N)=1, \quad e_{2} d_{2}-k_{2} \phi(N)=1, \quad e_{3} d_{3}-k_{3} \phi(N)=1
$$

satisfy also the inequalities $2\left|k_{2}-k_{1}\right| d_{1} k_{2}<e_{2}$ and $2\left|k_{3}-k_{1}\right| d_{1} k_{3}<e_{3}$. Combining the key equations, we get

$$
e_{1} d_{1} k_{2}-e_{2} d_{2} k_{1}=k_{2}-k_{1}, \quad e_{1} d_{1} k_{3}-e_{3} d_{3} k_{1}=k_{3}-k_{1}
$$

Proceeding as in Guo's first attack, we find the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}}-\frac{d_{2} k_{1}}{d_{1} k_{2}}\right|=\frac{\left|k_{2}-k_{1}\right|}{e_{2} d_{1} k_{2}}<\frac{1}{2\left(d_{1} k_{2}\right)^{2}}, \\
& \left|\frac{e_{1}}{e_{3}}-\frac{d_{3} k_{1}}{d_{1} k_{3}}\right|=\frac{\left|k_{3}-k_{1}\right|}{e_{3} d_{1} k_{3}}<\frac{1}{2\left(d_{1} k_{3}\right)^{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Theorem 1, we see that $\frac{d_{2} k_{1}}{d_{1} k_{2}}$ is one of the convergents of the continued fraction of $\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}}$ and similarly, $\frac{d_{3} k_{1}}{d_{1} k_{3}}$ is one of the convergents of the continued fraction of $\frac{e_{1}}{e_{3}}$. Suppose in addition that $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{2} k_{1}, d_{1} k_{2}\right)=1, \operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{3} k_{1}, d_{1} k_{3}\right)=1$, $\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{2}, d_{3}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(k_{2}, k_{3}\right)=1$, then $\frac{d_{2} k_{1}}{d_{1} k_{2}}$ and $\frac{d_{3} k_{1}}{d_{1} k_{3}}$ are in lowest terms and

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{1} k_{2}, d_{1} k_{3}\right)=d_{1}, \quad \operatorname{gcd}\left(d_{2} k_{1}, d_{3} k_{1}\right)=k_{1}
$$

With $d_{1}$ and $k_{1}$ known, the factorization of $N$ becomes trivial using the equation $e_{1} d_{1}-k_{1} \phi(N)=1$.

In 1999, it was shown by Howgrave-Graham and Seifert [6] that the bound $d_{i}<N^{\frac{1}{3}}$ with $i=1,2,3$ can be improved using lattice reduction techniques and very recently, Sarkar and Maitra [8] increased this bound up to $d_{i}<N^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

### 2.3 The Blömer and May attack

In 2004, Blömer and May [1] proposed an attack on RSA with a modulus $N=p q$ with $q<p<2 q$ and a public exponent $e$ satisfying an equation $e x+y=$ $k \phi(N)$. The attack is based on a combination of the continued fraction algorithm and Coppersmith's lattice-based technique for finding small roots of bivariate polynomial equation 3].

Theorem 2 (Coppersmith). Let $N=p q$ be the product of two unknown primes such that $q<p<2 q$. Suppose we know an approximation $\widetilde{P}$ of $p$ such that $|p-\widetilde{P}|<2 N^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Then $N$ can be factored in polynomial time.

Suppose that $e$ satisfies an equation $e x+y=k \phi(N)$. Under the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<x<\frac{1}{3} N^{\frac{1}{4}} \quad \text { and } \quad|y|=|e x-k \phi(N)| \leq \mathcal{O}\left(N^{-\frac{3}{4}} e x\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the fraction $\frac{k}{x}$ satisfies $\left|\frac{k}{x}-\frac{e}{N}\right|<\frac{1}{2 x^{2}}$. By Theorem 1 , this shows that $\frac{k}{x}$ can be found among the convergents of the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{e}{N}$. Using $\phi(N)=(p-1)(q-1)=N+1-p-q$ in the equation $e x+y=k \phi(N)$, Blömer and May showed that $N+1-\frac{e x}{k}$ is an approximation of $p+q$ satisfying

$$
\left|p+q-\left(N+1-\frac{e x}{k}\right)\right|=\frac{|y|}{k}<\frac{4}{3} c N^{\frac{1}{4}}
$$

where $c<1$ is a positive constant satisfying $p-q>c N^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Next, they derived that $\sqrt{\left(N+1-\frac{e x}{k}\right)^{2}-4 N}$ is an approximation of $p-q$ up to an error term at most $9 N^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Finally, combining the approximations of $p+q$ and $p-q$, they found an approximation of $p$ up to an error term of at most $6 N^{\frac{1}{4}}$ which leads to the exact value of $p$ using Coppersmith's Theorem 2.

## 3 Useful Lemmas

In this section we state and prove three lemmas needed for the new attack. The first is the following result.

Lemma 1. Let $N=p q$ be an RSA modulus with $q<p<2 q$. Suppose that $S$ is an approximation of $p+q$ satisfying $S>2 \sqrt{N}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p+q-S|<\frac{D}{S} N^{\frac{1}{4}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D=\sqrt{S^{2}-4 N}$. Then $\widetilde{P}=\frac{1}{2}(S+D)$ is an approximation of $p$ with $|p-\widetilde{P}|<2 N^{\frac{1}{4}}$.

Proof. Suppose that $S>2 \sqrt{N}$ is a positive integer satisfying (2) where $D=$ $\sqrt{S^{2}-4 N}$. We have

Dividing by $p-q+D$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p-q-D| \leq \frac{p+q+S}{p-q+D} \times \frac{D N^{\frac{1}{4}}}{S} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us find a bound for $\frac{p+q+S}{p-q+D}$. Since $D<S$, then from $\sqrt{2}$, we derive

$$
p+q+S<2 S+\frac{D N^{\frac{1}{4}}}{S}<2 S+N^{\frac{1}{4}}<3 S
$$

On the other hand, we have $p-q+D>D$. Hence

$$
\frac{p+q+S}{p-q+D}<\frac{3 S}{D}
$$

Plugging in (3), we deduce

$$
\begin{equation*}
|p-q-D| \leq \frac{3 S}{D} \times \frac{D N^{\frac{1}{4}}}{S}=3 N^{\frac{1}{4}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using (2) and (4), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
|2 p-S-D| & =|p+q-S+(p-q-D)| \\
& \leq|p+q-S|+|p-q-D| \\
& <\frac{D N^{\frac{1}{4}}}{S}+3 N^{\frac{1}{4}} \\
& <4 N^{\frac{1}{4}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Dividing by 2 , we find

$$
\left|p-\frac{S+D}{2}\right|=|p-\tilde{P}|<2 N^{\frac{1}{4}}
$$

which terminates the proof.
Notice that when the primes $p$ and $q$ satisfy $q<p<2 q$, then $p+q>2 \sqrt{N}$ and if $S$ is an approximation of $p+q$, then $S$ also satisfies $S>2 \sqrt{N}$.

The second lemma is the following.
Lemma 2. Let $N=p q$ be an RSA modulus with $q<p<2 q$. Let $e_{1}$, $e_{2}$ be integers satisfying the equations

$$
e_{1} x_{1}-\phi(N) y_{1}=z_{1}, \quad e_{2} x_{2}-\phi(N) y_{2}=z_{2}
$$

If $2 x_{1} y_{2}\left|z_{1} y_{2}-z_{2} y_{1}\right|<e_{2}$ then $\frac{x_{2} y_{1}}{x_{1} y_{2}}$ is a convergent of $\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}}$.
Proof. Suppose that $e_{1}, e_{2}$ satisfy the equations $e_{1} x_{1}-\phi(N) y_{1}=z_{1}$ and $e_{2} x_{2}-$ $\phi(N) y_{2}=z_{2}$. Then eliminating $\phi(N)$, we get

$$
e_{1} x_{1} y_{2}-e_{2} x_{2} y_{1}=z_{1} y_{2}-z_{2} y_{1}
$$

Dividing both sides by $e_{2} x_{1} y_{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}}-\frac{x_{2} y_{1}}{x_{1} y_{2}}\right|=\frac{\left|z_{1} y_{2}-z_{2} y_{1}\right|}{e_{2} x_{1} y_{2}} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that the parameters satisfy the inequality $2 x_{1} y_{2}\left|z_{1} y_{2}-z_{2} y_{1}\right|<e_{2}$. Then (5) yields

$$
\left|\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}}-\frac{x_{2} y_{1}}{x_{1} y_{2}}\right|<\frac{1}{2\left(x_{1} y_{2}\right)^{2}} .
$$

Combining with Theorem 1, we see that $\frac{x_{2} y_{1}}{x_{1} y_{2}}$ is a convergent of $\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}}$.
Finally, we will use the following result.
Lemma 3. Let $N=p q$ be an RSA modulus with $q<p<2 q$. Let $e_{1}$ be an integer satisfying the equation $e_{1} x_{1}-\phi(N) y_{1}=z_{1}$, with known positive parameters $x_{1}$, $y_{1}$. Let

$$
S=N+1-\frac{e_{1} x_{1}}{y_{1}} \quad \text { and } \quad D=\sqrt{\left|S^{2}-4 N\right|}
$$

Then, under the conditions $S>2 \sqrt{N}$ and

$$
\left|z_{1}\right|<\frac{D}{S} N^{\frac{1}{4}} y_{1}
$$

$N$ can be factored in polynomial time.
Proof. Suppose that $e_{1}$ satisfies the conditions of the theorem where $x_{1}, y_{1}$ are known positive integers. Using $\phi(N)=N+1-p-q$ in the equation $e_{1} x_{1}-$ $\phi(N) y_{1}=z_{1}$, we get

$$
\left|p+q-\left(N+1-\frac{e_{1} x_{1}}{y_{1}}\right)\right|=\frac{\left|z_{1}\right|}{y_{1}}<\frac{D}{S} N^{\frac{1}{4}} .
$$

This implies that $S=N+1-\frac{e_{1} x_{1}}{y_{1}}$ is an approximation of $p+q$ up to an error term satisfying the condition of Lemma 1 . Hence $\widetilde{P}=\frac{1}{2}\left(S+\sqrt{\left|S^{2}-4 N\right|}\right)$ is an approximation of $p$ up to an error term at most $2 N^{\frac{1}{4}}$. Thus, using Coppersmith's Theorem 2, one can find $p$ in polynomial time.

## 4 The New Attack on RSA with Two Exponents

In this section, we investigate RSA with the same modulus and two public exponents $e_{1}$ and $e_{2}$ satisfying the equations $e_{1} x_{1}-\phi(N) y_{1}=z_{1}$, and $e_{2} x_{2}-\phi(N) y_{2}=$ $z_{2}$, where the parameters satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{gcd}\left(x_{2} y_{1}, x_{1} y_{2}\right)=1,  \tag{6}\\
& x_{1} y_{2}\left|z_{1} y_{2}-z_{2} y_{1}\right|<\frac{e_{2}}{2} . \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

This means that the conditions of Lemma 2 are satisfied which implies that $\frac{x_{2} y_{1}}{x_{1} y_{2}}$ can be found in the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}}$. The condition (6) implies that the convergent $\frac{x_{2} y_{1}}{x_{1} y_{2}}$ is in lowest terms which gives $x_{2} y_{1}$ and $x_{1} y_{2}$. Now, we wish to recover the values of the parameters $x_{1}, y_{1}, x_{2}, y_{2}$. Using the assumptions that $x_{1}, y_{2},\left|z_{1} y_{2}-z_{2} y_{1}\right|$ are at most $N^{\delta}$ and that $e_{2} \approx N$, the condition (7) is satisfied whenever $N^{3 \delta}<\frac{1}{2} N$, that is $\delta=\frac{1}{3}-\varepsilon$, for some small $\varepsilon>0$. Moreover, if $x_{2} y_{1}$ and $x_{1} y_{2}$ are not of a difficult factorization shape, then their factorization is feasible for instances of RSA with a 1024 -bit modulus. Thus, factoring $x_{2} y_{1}$ and $x_{1} y_{2}$ will reveal the parameters $x_{1}, y_{1}$. To find the prime factors $p$ and $q$ of the RSA modulus $N=p q$, we must make the assumption that the parameter $z_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\left|z_{1}\right|<\frac{D}{S} N^{\frac{1}{4}} y_{1}
$$

where $S=N+1-\frac{e_{1} x_{1}}{y_{1}}$ and $D=\sqrt{\left|S^{2}-4 N\right|}$. Thus, the conditions of Lemma 3 are satisfied which leads to the factorization of $N$.

We summarize the first attack in Algorithm 1.

```
Algorithm 1 Two exponents
Input: \(N=p q\) with \(q<p<2 q\), two public exponents \(e_{i}, i=1,2\) satisfying \(e_{i} x_{i}-\)
    \(\phi(N) y_{i}=z_{i}\) with unknown parameters \(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\).
Output: The prime factors \(p\) and \(q\).
    Compute the continued fraction expansion of \(\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}}\).
    For every convergent \(\frac{p_{k}}{q_{k}}\) of \(\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}}\) with \(\max \left(p_{k}, q_{k}\right)<N^{\frac{2}{3}}\) do
        Factor \(p_{k}\) and \(q_{k}\).
        For every divisor \(y_{1}\) of \(p_{k}\) do
            For every divisor \(x_{1}\) of \(q_{k}\) do
                    Compute \(S=N+1-\frac{e_{1} x_{1}}{y_{1}}\) and \(\widetilde{P}=\frac{1}{2}\left(S+\sqrt{\left|S^{2}-4 N\right|}\right)\).
                    Apply Coppersmith's algorithm (Theorem 2 with \(\widetilde{P}\) as an approximation of
                    \(p\).
                    If Coppersmith's algorithm outputs the factorization of \(N\), then
                        stop.
            End if
            End for
        End for
    End for
```


## An Example for the New Attack with Two Exponents

As an example, let us take

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=78783023222142579402299 \\
& e_{1}=20339472065400293617 \\
& e_{2}=16071808231974749459
\end{aligned}
$$

The first 30 partial quotients of $\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}}$ are

$$
[1,3,1,3,3,1,2,59,1,2,2,2,1,1,3,1,1,
$$

$4,3,1,7,18,10,1,13,1,1,316,4,1, \cdots]$
Each convergent $\frac{a}{b}$ is a candidate for $\frac{x_{2} y_{1}}{x_{1} y_{2}}$. The 27 th convergent is

$$
\frac{a}{b}=\frac{3889559329731}{3073445144167}
$$

We see that $a \approx N^{0.550}, b \approx N^{0.546}$ are not of difficult factorization shape. We get easily $a=3^{3} \cdot 229 \cdot 6079 \cdot 103483$ and $b=41 \cdot 43 \cdot 71 \cdot 1693 \cdot 14503$ and we see that the largest prime factor is $103483 \approx N^{0.22}$. Next, the decomposition

$$
a=x_{2} y_{1}=71092821 \cdot 54711, \quad b=x_{1} y_{2}=211917889 \cdot 14503
$$

gives $x_{1}=211917889, y_{1}=54711, x_{2}=71092821$ and $y_{2}=14503$. Using the equation $e_{1} x_{1}-\phi(N) y_{1}=z_{1}$, we get $p+q=N+1-\frac{e_{1} x_{1}}{y_{1}}+\frac{z_{1}}{y_{1}}$. We then make
the assumption that

$$
p+q \approx S=N+1-\frac{e_{1} x_{1}}{y_{1}} \approx 594807230437
$$

From this, we get the approximation

$$
p-q \approx D=\sqrt{\left|S^{2}-4 N\right|} \approx 196630487186
$$

Combining the approximations of $p+q$ and $p-q$, we get

$$
p \approx \frac{S+D}{2} \approx 395718858812
$$

Then Coppersmith's algorithm 2 gives $p=395718860549$ and $q=199088370751$.
Note that, in this example, the private exponents $d_{i} \equiv e_{i}^{-1}(\bmod \phi(N))$, $i=1,2$, are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{1}=63426822067770650216953 \approx N^{0.996} \text {, } \\
& d_{2}=68134122111136587656939 \approx N^{0.997} \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

so that $d_{1}, d_{2}>N^{\frac{1}{2}}$, which explains why Guo's attack would fail in this case. On the other hand, in connection with the attack of Blömer and May as described in Subsection 2.3 , the fraction $\frac{y_{1}}{x_{1}}$ is not among the convergents of the continued fraction of $\frac{e_{1}}{N}$. Similarly, $\frac{y_{2}}{x_{2}}$ is not among the convergents of the continued fraction of $\frac{e_{2}}{N}$. Moreover, all the convergents $\frac{x}{k}$ of $\frac{e_{1}}{N}$ with $x<\frac{1}{3} N^{\frac{1}{4}}$ are such that $\left|e_{1} x-k \phi(N)\right|>N^{-\frac{3}{4}} e_{1} x$ so that the condition (1) is never satisfied. We have a similar result with the convergents of $\frac{e_{2}}{N}$. This explains why Blömer and May's attack would also fail in this case.

## 5 The New Attack on RSA with Three Exponents

To avoid factoring integers of size $N^{\frac{2}{3}}$, we consider in this section that a third instance of RSA with the same modulus is available. Suppose we have three public exponents $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ satisfying the equations

$$
e_{1} x_{1}-\phi(N) y_{1}=z_{1}, \quad e_{2} x_{2}-\phi(N) y_{2}=z_{2}, \quad e_{3} x_{3}-\phi(N) y_{3}=z_{3}
$$

where the parameters satisfy $\operatorname{gcd}\left(x_{2} y_{1}, x_{1} y_{2}\right)=1, \operatorname{gcd}\left(x_{3} y_{1}, x_{1} y_{3}\right)=1$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1} y_{2}\left|z_{1} y_{2}-z_{2} y_{1}\right|<\frac{e_{2}}{2}, \\
& x_{1} y_{3}\left|z_{1} y_{3}-z_{3} y_{1}\right|<\frac{e_{3}}{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This immediately shows that the conditions of Lemma 2 are satisfied for $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)$ and for $\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right)$. Hence $\frac{x_{2} y_{1}}{x_{1} y_{2}}$ is in lowest terms and is a convergent of $\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}}$. Similarly, $\frac{x_{3} y_{1}}{x_{1} y_{3}}$ is in lowest terms and is a convergent of $\frac{e_{1}}{e_{3}}$. This gives

$$
\operatorname{gcd}\left(x_{1} y_{2}, x_{1} y_{3}\right)=x_{1}, \quad \operatorname{gcd}\left(x_{2} y_{1}, x_{3} y_{1}\right)=y_{1}
$$

Now, if the condition

$$
\left|z_{1}\right|<\frac{D}{S} N^{\frac{1}{4}} y_{1}
$$

is satisfied, where $S=N+1-\frac{e_{1} x_{1}}{y_{1}}$ and $D=\sqrt{\left|S^{2}-4 N\right|}$, then by Lemma 3 one can find $p$ using Coppersmith's Theorem with the approximation

$$
\widetilde{P}=\frac{1}{2}\left(S+\sqrt{\left|S^{2}-4 N\right|}\right) .
$$

of $p$.
We summarize the attack in Algorithm 2

```
Algorithm 2 Three Exponents
Input: \(N=p q\) with \(q<p<2 q\), three public exponents \(e_{i}, i=1,2,3\), satisfying
    \(e_{i} x_{i}-\phi(N) y_{i}=z_{i}\) with unknown parameters \(x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}\).
Output: The prime factors \(p\) and \(q\).
    Compute the continued fraction expansion of \(\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}}\).
    Compute the continued fraction expansion of \(\frac{e_{1}}{e_{3}}\).
    For every convergent \(\frac{a}{b}\) of \(\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}}\) do
        For every convergent \(\frac{c}{d}\) of \(\frac{e_{1}}{e_{3}}\) do
            Compute \(x_{1}=\operatorname{gcd}(b, d), \stackrel{y}{y}_{1}=\operatorname{gcd}(a, c)\).
            Compute \(S=N+1-\frac{e_{1} x_{1}}{y_{1}}\) and \(\widetilde{P}=\frac{1}{2}\left(S+\sqrt{\left|S^{2}-4 N\right|}\right)\).
            Apply Coppersmith's algorithm (Theorem 2) with \(\widetilde{P}\) as an approximation of \(p\).
            If Coppersmith's algorithm outputs the factorization of \(N\), then
                stop.
            End if
        End for
    End for
```


## An Example for the New Attack with Three Exponents

Here we take an RSA modulus $N=p q$ and three public exponents $e_{1}, e_{2}$ and $e_{3}$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& N=95026423511070214659367 \\
& e_{1}=988283832402044225959 \\
& e_{2}=35887685050144510339 \\
& e_{3}=4465685820126103902929
\end{aligned}
$$

The candidates for $\frac{x_{2} y_{1}}{x_{1} y_{2}}$ are the convergents of $\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}}$. Indeed, the 25 th convergent of $\frac{e_{1}}{e_{2}}$ is $\frac{44398785042941}{1612259112200}$. Similarly, the candidates for $\frac{x_{3} y_{1}}{x_{1} y_{3}}$ are the convergents of
$\frac{e_{1}}{e_{3}}$. The 35 th convergent of $\frac{e_{1}}{e_{3}}$ is $\frac{6433869008153}{29072252986700}$. From the two convergents, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{1} & =\operatorname{gcd}(1612259112200,29072252986700) \\
y_{1} & =\operatorname{gcd}(44398785042941,6433869008153)
\end{aligned}=617411 .
$$

Using the equation $e_{1} x_{1}-\phi(N) y_{1}=z 1$, we get $p+q=N+1-\frac{e_{1} x_{1}}{y_{1}}+\frac{z_{1}}{y_{1}}$, and neglecting $\frac{z_{1}}{y_{1}}$, we get

$$
p+q \approx S=N+1-\frac{e_{1} x_{1}}{y_{1}} \approx 642772787002
$$

From this, we get the approximation

$$
p-q \approx D=\sqrt{\left|S^{2}-4 N\right|} \approx 181799784560
$$

Using the approximations $S$ and $D$, we get $p \approx \frac{S+D}{2} \approx 412286285781$. Finally, applying Coppersmith's Theorem 2, we get

$$
p=412286285849, \quad q=\frac{N}{p}=230486501183
$$

We notice that, for $i=1,2,3$, the integers $d_{i}$ related to $e_{i}$ by the relations $e_{i} d_{i} \equiv 1(\bmod \phi(N))$ satisfy $d_{i}>N^{0.98}$ which is far from Guo's upper bound $N^{\frac{1}{3}}$ as described in Subsection 2.2. This shows that Guo's method would fail here. On the other hand, for $i=1,2,3$, the convergents of the rational numbers $\frac{e_{i}}{N}$ are all different from the expected convergents $\frac{y_{i}}{x_{i}}$. Moreover, for $i=1,2,3$, the conditions (1) are not satisfied by the convergents of $\frac{e_{i}}{N}$. This shows that the method of Blömer and May would also fail in this case.

## 6 Conclusion

In this paper, we have presented a new attack on RSA with the same modulus $N=p q$ and two or three exponents satisfying equations $e_{i} x_{i}-\phi(N) y_{i}=z_{i}$ with specific unknown parameters $x_{i}, y_{i}, z_{i}$. Our attack is an extension of Guo's attack as well as an extension of the Blömer and May attack. The new attack enables us to find $p$ and $q$ efficiently with two exponents and in polynomial time with three exponents. This proves once again that, under some conditions, RSA is insecure even when the private exponents are sufficiently large.
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