

Another Generalization of Wiener's Attack on RSA

Abderrahmane NITAJ

Université de Caen, France

Casablanca, June 12, 2008

الدار البيضاء، المغرب

Colour conventions

Red

Secret parameters.

Blue or Black

Public parameters.

Colour conventions

Red

Secret parameters.

Blue or Black

Public parameters.

RSA cryptosystem

- Rivest, Shamir and Adleman (1977).
- The most successful public key encryption algorithm.
- The security of RSA is based on the problem of factoring large integers.

The RSA modulus

- p, q large primes with the same bit-size.
- $N = pq$.

The public and private exponents

- $\phi(N) = (p-1)(q-1)$.
- $e \in \mathbb{N}, 1 < e < \phi(N)$, the public exponent.
- $d \in \mathbb{N}, 1 < d < \phi(N)$, the private exponent.
- $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(N)}$.

The RSA equation

$$ed - (p-1)(q-1)k = 1.$$

Main goal

Given N, e , find p, q .

The RSA modulus

- p, q large primes with the same bit-size.
- $N = pq$.

The public and private exponents

- $\phi(N) = (p-1)(q-1)$.
- $e \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < e < \phi(N)$, the public exponent.
- $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < d < \phi(N)$, the private exponent.
- $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(N)}$.

The RSA equation

$$ed - (p-1)(q-1)k = 1.$$

Main goal

Given N, e , find p, q .

The RSA modulus

- p, q large primes with the same bit-size.
- $N = pq$.

The public and private exponents

- $\phi(N) = (p-1)(q-1)$.
- $e \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < e < \phi(N)$, the public exponent.
- $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < d < \phi(N)$, the private exponent.
- $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(N)}$.

The RSA equation

$$ed - (p-1)(q-1)k = 1.$$

Main goal

Given N, e , find p, q .

The RSA modulus

- p, q large primes with the same bit-size.
- $N = pq$.

The public and private exponents

- $\phi(N) = (p-1)(q-1)$.
- $e \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < e < \phi(N)$, the public exponent.
- $d \in \mathbb{N}$, $1 < d < \phi(N)$, the private exponent.
- $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{\phi(N)}$.

The RSA equation

$$ed - (p-1)(q-1)k = 1.$$

Main goal

Given N, e , find p, q .

The RSA equation

$$ed - (p - 1)(q - 1)k = 1.$$

Wiener's attack, 1990

If $d < \frac{1}{3}N^{\frac{1}{4}}$ then $\frac{k}{d}$ is among the convergents of the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{e}{N}$ and the factorization of $N = pq$ can be found.

The method

- $\frac{k}{d} \approx \frac{e}{N}$.
- The continued fraction algorithm.

The RSA equation

$$ed - (p - 1)(q - 1)k = 1.$$

Wiener's attack, 1990

If $d < \frac{1}{3}N^{\frac{1}{4}}$ then $\frac{k}{d}$ is among the convergents of the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{e}{N}$ and the factorization of $N = pq$ can be found.

The method

- $\frac{k}{d} \approx \frac{e}{N}$.
- The continued fraction algorithm.

The RSA equation

$$ed - (p-1)(q-1)k = 1.$$

Wiener's attack, 1990

If $d < \frac{1}{3}N^{\frac{1}{4}}$ then $\frac{k}{d}$ is among the convergents of the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{e}{N}$ and the factorization of $N = pq$ can be found.

The method

- $\frac{k}{d} \approx \frac{e}{N}$.
- The continued fraction algorithm.

The RSA equation

$$ed - (p - 1)(q - 1)k = 1.$$

Boneh-Durfee's attack, 2000

If $d < N^{0.292}$, then the factorization of $N = pq$ can be found.

The method

- $k(N + 1 - x) \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$, where $x = p + q$.
- Lattice reduction techniques and Coppersmith's method for finding small roots of modular polynomial equations.

The RSA equation

$$ed - (p - 1)(q - 1)k = 1.$$

Boneh-Durfee's attack, 2000

If $d < N^{0.292}$, then the factorization of $N = pq$ can be found.

The method

- $k(N + 1 - x) \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$, where $x = p + q$.
- Lattice reduction techniques and Coppersmith's method for finding small roots of modular polynomial equations.

The RSA equation

$$ed - (p - 1)(q - 1)k = 1.$$

Boneh-Durfee's attack, 2000

If $d < N^{0.292}$, then the factorization of $N = pq$ can be found.

The method

- $k(N + 1 - x) \equiv 1 \pmod{e}$, where $x = p + q$.
- Lattice reduction techniques and Coppersmith's method for finding small roots of modular polynomial equations.

The variant RSA equation

$$ex - (p - 1)(q - 1)k = y.$$

Blömer-May's attack, 2004

If $x < \frac{1}{3}N^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and $|y| = O\left(N^{-\frac{3}{4}}ex\right)$ then the factorization of $N = pq$ can be found.

The method

- $\frac{k}{x} \approx \frac{e}{N}$.
- The continued fraction algorithm.
- Lattice reduction techniques and Coppersmith's method for finding small roots of modular polynomial equations.

The variant RSA equation

$$ex - (p-1)(q-1)k = y.$$

Blömer-May's attack, 2004

If $x < \frac{1}{3}N^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and $|y| = O\left(N^{-\frac{3}{4}}ex\right)$ then the factorization of $N = pq$ can be found.

The method

- $\frac{k}{x} \approx \frac{e}{N}$.
- The continued fraction algorithm.
- Lattice reduction techniques and Coppersmith's method for finding small roots of modular polynomial equations.

The variant RSA equation

$$ex - (p - 1)(q - 1)k = y.$$

Blömer-May's attack, 2004

If $x < \frac{1}{3}N^{\frac{1}{4}}$ and $|y| = O\left(N^{-\frac{3}{4}}ex\right)$ then the factorization of $N = pq$ can be found.

The method

- $\frac{k}{x} \approx \frac{e}{N}$.
- The continued fraction algorithm.
- Lattice reduction techniques and Coppersmith's method for finding small roots of modular polynomial equations.

The new attack

The variant RSA equation

$$eX - (p - u)(q - v)Y = 1.$$

$u = v = 1$ implies the RSA equation $ed - (p - 1)(q - 1)k = 1$.

The new attack

If $1 \leq Y < X < 2^{-\frac{1}{4}}N^{\frac{1}{4}}$, $|u| < N^{\frac{1}{4}}$, $v = \left[-\frac{qu}{p-u}\right]$, and all prime factors of $p - u$ or $q - v$ are less than 10^{50} , then the factorization of $N = pq$ can be found.

The method

- The continued fraction algorithm.
- H.W. Lenstra's elliptic curve method (ECM).
- Lattice reduction techniques and Coppersmith's method for finding small roots of modular polynomial equations.

The new attack

The variant RSA equation

$$eX - (p - u)(q - v)Y = 1.$$

$u = v = 1$ implies the RSA equation $ed - (p - 1)(q - 1)k = 1$.

The new attack

If $1 \leq Y < X < 2^{-\frac{1}{4}}N^{\frac{1}{4}}$, $|u| < N^{\frac{1}{4}}$, $v = \left[-\frac{qu}{p-u}\right]$, and all prime factors of $p - u$ or $q - v$ are less than 10^{50} , then the factorization of $N = pq$ can be found.

The method

- The continued fraction algorithm.
- H.W. Lenstra's elliptic curve method (ECM).
- Lattice reduction techniques and Coppersmith's method for finding small roots of modular polynomial equations.

The new attack

The variant RSA equation

$$eX - (p - u)(q - v)Y = 1.$$

$u = v = 1$ implies the RSA equation $ed - (p - 1)(q - 1)k = 1$.

The new attack

If $1 \leq Y < X < 2^{-\frac{1}{4}}N^{\frac{1}{4}}$, $|u| < N^{\frac{1}{4}}$, $v = \left[-\frac{qu}{p-u}\right]$, and all prime factors of $p - u$ or $q - v$ are less than 10^{50} , then the factorization of $N = pq$ can be found.

The method

- The continued fraction algorithm.
- H.W. Lenstra's elliptic curve method (ECM).
- Lattice reduction techniques and Coppersmith's method for finding small roots of modular polynomial equations.

The Continued fraction algorithm

- e and N are coprime positive integers.
- $\frac{e}{N} = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \dots}}}$.
- $\frac{e}{N} = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$ where a_i are positive integers.
- $\frac{r_i}{s_i} = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i]$ are called the convergents.

The convergent theorem

If $\left| \frac{e}{N} - \frac{x}{y} \right| < \frac{1}{2y^2}$, then $\frac{x}{y}$ is one of the convergents of the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{e}{N}$.

The Continued fraction algorithm

- e and N are coprime positive integers.
- $\frac{e}{N} = a_0 + \frac{1}{a_1 + \frac{1}{a_2 + \frac{1}{a_3 + \dots}}}$.
- $\frac{e}{N} = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots]$ where a_i are positive integers.
- $\frac{r_i}{s_i} = [a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_i]$ are called the convergents.

The convergent theorem

If $\left| \frac{e}{N} - \frac{x}{y} \right| < \frac{1}{2y^2}$, then $\frac{x}{y}$ is one of the convergents of the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{e}{N}$.

Coppersmith's theorem

Let $N = pq$ be an RSA modulus with $q < p < 2q$. Given an approximation \tilde{p} of p with $|p - \tilde{p}| < N^{\frac{1}{4}}$, then $N = pq$ can be factored in time polynomial in $\log N$.

Smooth numbers

Let y be a positive constant. A positive number n is y -smooth if all prime factors of n are less than y .

The Elliptic Curve Method (ECM)

- H.W. Lenstra, 1985, phase 1.
- Brent, Montgomery, 1986-87, phase 2.
- ECM is very efficient to factor B_{ecm} -smooth integers where

$$B_{\text{ecm}} = 10^{50}$$

Smooth numbers

Let y be a positive constant. A positive number n is y -smooth if all prime factors of n are less than y .

The Elliptic Curve Method (ECM)

- H.W. Lenstra, 1985, phase 1.
- Brent, Montgomery, 1986-87, phase 2.
- ECM is very efficient to factor B_{ecm} -smooth integers where

$$B_{\text{ecm}} = 10^{50}$$

The counting function

$$\Psi(x, y) = \#\{n : 1 \leq n \leq x, n \text{ is } y\text{-smooth}\}.$$

Theorem (Hildebrand)

$$\Psi(x, y) = x\rho(u) \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{\log(u+1)}{\log y}\right) \right\}$$

holds in the range $x = y^u$ and $y > \exp\{(\log \log x)^{5/3+\epsilon}\}$ where $\rho(u)$ be the Dickman-de Bruijn function.

Theorem (Friedlander and Granville)

$$\Psi(x+z, y) - \Psi(x, y) \geq c \frac{z}{x} \Psi(x, y)$$

in the range $x \geq z \geq x^{1/2+\delta}$, $x \geq y \geq x^{1/\gamma}$, $\delta > 0$, $\gamma > 0$,
 $c = c(\delta, \gamma) > 0$.

The counting function

$$\Psi(x, y) = \#\{n : 1 \leq n \leq x, n \text{ is } y\text{-smooth}\}.$$

Theorem (Hildebrand)

$$\Psi(x, y) = x\rho(u) \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{\log(u+1)}{\log y}\right) \right\}$$

holds in the range $x = y^u$ and $y > \exp\{(\log \log x)^{5/3+\varepsilon}\}$ where $\rho(u)$ be the Dickman-de Bruijn function.

Theorem (Friedlander and Granville)

$$\Psi(x+z, y) - \Psi(x, y) \geq c \frac{z}{x} \Psi(x, y)$$

in the range $x \geq z \geq x^{1/2+\delta}$, $x \geq y \geq x^{1/\gamma}$, $\delta > 0$, $\gamma > 0$,
 $c = c(\delta, \gamma) > 0$.

The counting function

$$\Psi(x, y) = \#\{n : 1 \leq n \leq x, n \text{ is } y\text{-smooth}\}.$$

Theorem (Hildebrand)

$$\Psi(x, y) = x\rho(u) \left\{ 1 + O\left(\frac{\log(u+1)}{\log y}\right) \right\}$$

holds in the range $x = y^u$ and $y > \exp\{(\log \log x)^{5/3+\varepsilon}\}$ where $\rho(u)$ be the Dickman-de Bruijn function.

Theorem (Friedlander and Granville)

$$\Psi(x+z, y) - \Psi(x, y) \geq c \frac{z}{x} \Psi(x, y)$$

in the range $x \geq z \geq x^{1/2+\delta}$, $x \geq y \geq x^{1/\gamma}$, $\delta > 0$, $\gamma > 0$,
 $c = c(\delta, \gamma) > 0$.

The proof

Setting

- $eX - (p - u)(q - v)Y = 1$.
- $1 \leq Y < X < 2^{-\frac{1}{4}} N^{\frac{1}{4}}$.
- $|u| < N^{\frac{1}{4}}$, $v = \left[-\frac{qu}{p-u} \right]$.
- Without loss of generality, suppose $p - u$ is B_{ecm} -smooth.

- Write $eX - NY = 1 - (N - (p - u)(q - v))Y$. Then

$$\frac{e}{N} \approx \frac{Y}{X}.$$

- Compute X and Y via the continued fraction expansion of $\frac{e}{N}$.
- Compute $(p - u)(q - v) = \frac{eX - 1}{Y}$.
- Apply ECM to write $\frac{eX - 1}{Y} = M_1 M_2$ where M_1 is B_{ecm} -smooth, i.e.

$$M_1 = \prod_{i=1}^{\omega(M_1)} p_i^{a_i}, \quad p_i \leq B_{\text{ecm}}, \quad a_i \geq 1.$$

- Write $eX - NY = 1 - (N - (p - u)(q - v))Y$. Then

$$\frac{e}{N} \approx \frac{Y}{X}.$$

- Compute X and Y via **the continued fraction expansion** of $\frac{e}{N}$.
- Compute $(p - u)(q - v) = \frac{eX - 1}{Y}$.
- Apply **ECM** to write $\frac{eX - 1}{Y} = M_1 M_2$ where M_1 is B_{ecm} -smooth, i.e.

$$M_1 = \prod_{i=1}^{\omega(M_1)} p_i^{a_i}, \quad p_i \leq B_{\text{ecm}}, \quad a_i \geq 1.$$

- Write $eX - NY = 1 - (N - (p - u)(q - v))Y$. Then

$$\frac{e}{N} \approx \frac{Y}{X}.$$

- Compute X and Y via **the continued fraction expansion** of $\frac{e}{N}$.
- Compute $(p - u)(q - v) = \frac{eX - 1}{Y}$.
- Apply **ECM** to write $\frac{eX - 1}{Y} = M_1 M_2$ where M_1 is B_{ecm} -smooth, i.e.

$$M_1 = \prod_{i=1}^{\omega(M_1)} p_i^{a_i}, \quad p_i \leq B_{\text{ecm}}, \quad a_i \geq 1.$$

- Write $eX - NY = 1 - (N - (p - u)(q - v))Y$. Then

$$\frac{e}{N} \approx \frac{Y}{X}.$$

- Compute X and Y via **the continued fraction expansion** of $\frac{e}{N}$.
- Compute $(p - u)(q - v) = \frac{eX - 1}{Y}$.
- Apply **ECM** to write $\frac{eX - 1}{Y} = M_1 M_2$ where M_1 is B_{ecm} -smooth, i.e.

$$M_1 = \prod_{i=1}^{\omega(M_1)} p_i^{a_i}, \quad p_i \leq B_{\text{ecm}}, \quad a_i \geq 1.$$

- Since $p - u$ is B_{ecm} -smooth, then

$$p - u = \prod_{i=1}^{\omega(M_1)} p_i^{x_i}, \quad x_i \geq 0.$$

- Since $N^{\frac{1}{2}} < p - u < \sqrt{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then

$$0 < \sum_{i=1}^{\omega(M_1)} x_i \log p_i - \frac{1}{2} \log N < \frac{1}{2} \log 2.$$

- To solve this
 - The Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovasz LLL algorithm.
 - The Ferguson PSLQ algorithm.
 - Exhaustive search since $\omega(M_1) \sim \log \log M_1$.

- Since $p - u$ is B_{ecm} -smooth, then

$$p - u = \prod_{i=1}^{\omega(M_1)} p_i^{x_i}, \quad x_i \geq 0.$$

- Since $N^{\frac{1}{2}} < p - u < \sqrt{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then

$$0 < \sum_{i=1}^{\omega(M_1)} x_i \log p_i - \frac{1}{2} \log N < \frac{1}{2} \log 2.$$

- To solve this
 - The Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovasz LLL algorithm.
 - The Ferguson PSLQ algorithm.
 - Exhaustive search since $\omega(M_1) \sim \log \log M_1$.

- Since $p - u$ is B_{ecm} -smooth, then

$$p - u = \prod_{i=1}^{\omega(M_1)} p_i^{x_i}, \quad x_i \geq 0.$$

- Since $N^{\frac{1}{2}} < p - u < \sqrt{2}N^{\frac{1}{2}}$, then

$$0 < \sum_{i=1}^{\omega(M_1)} x_i \log p_i - \frac{1}{2} \log N < \frac{1}{2} \log 2.$$

- To solve this
 - The Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovasz **LLL** algorithm.
 - The Ferguson **PSLQ** algorithm.
 - Exhaustive search since $\omega(M_1) \sim \log \log M_1$.

- Finally, apply Coppersmith's algorithm to find p using

$$p - u = \prod_{i=1}^{\omega(M_1)} p_i^{x_i}, \quad x_i \geq 0.$$

Cardinality

- $eX - (p - u)(q - v)Y = 1$.
- $1 \leq Y < X < 2^{-\frac{1}{4}} N^{\frac{1}{4}}$.
- $|u| < N^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad v = \left\lfloor -\frac{qu}{p-u} \right\rfloor$.
- Without loss of generality, suppose $p - u$ is B_{ecm} -smooth.
- Then using Hildebrand and Friedlander and Granville results on smooth numbers, we find that there are at least $N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$ such keys.

- Finally, apply Coppersmith's algorithm to find p using

$$p - u = \prod_{i=1}^{\omega(M_1)} p_i^{x_i}, \quad x_i \geq 0.$$

Cardinality

- $eX - (p - u)(q - v)Y = 1$.
- $1 \leq Y < X < 2^{-\frac{1}{4}} N^{\frac{1}{4}}$.
- $|u| < N^{\frac{1}{4}}, \quad v = \left\lfloor -\frac{qu}{p-u} \right\rfloor$.
- Without loss of generality, suppose $p - u$ is B_{ecm} -smooth.
- Then using Hildebrand and Friedlander and Granville results on smooth numbers, we find that there are at least $N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}$ such keys.

Comparison

Wiener's attack

- The equation :

$$ed - (p-1)(q-1)k = 1.$$

- The method :

The continued fraction algorithm

- The size of such keys :

$$\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\frac{1}{4}}\right).$$

The new attack

- The equation :

$$eX - (p-u)(q-v)Y = 1.$$

- The method :

- The continued fraction algorithm.
- Lenstra'ECM .
- The Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovasz LLL algorithm. or the Ferguson PSLQ algorithm.
- Coppersmith's method.

- The size of such keys :

$$\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}\right).$$

Comparison

Wiener's attack

- The equation :

$$ed - (p-1)(q-1)k = 1.$$

- The method :

The continued fraction algorithm

- The size of such keys :

$$\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\frac{1}{4}}\right).$$

The new attack

- The equation :

$$eX - (p-u)(q-v)Y = 1.$$

- The method :

- The continued fraction algorithm.
- Lenstra'ECM .
- The Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovasz LLL algorithm. or the Ferguson PSLQ algorithm.
- Coppersmith's method.

- The size of such keys :

$$\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}\right).$$

Comparison

Wiener's attack

- The equation :

$$ed - (p-1)(q-1)k = 1.$$

- The method :

The continued fraction algorithm

- The size of such keys :

$$\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\frac{1}{4}}\right).$$

The new attack

- The equation :

$$eX - (p-u)(q-v)Y = 1.$$

- The method :

- The continued fraction algorithm.
- Lenstra'ECM .
- The Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovasz LLL algorithm. or the Ferguson PSLQ algorithm.
- Coppersmith's method.

- The size of such keys :

$$\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}\right).$$

Comparison

Wiener's attack

- The equation :

$$ed - (p-1)(q-1)k = 1.$$

- The method :

The continued fraction algorithm

- The size of such keys :

$$\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\frac{1}{4}}\right).$$

The new attack

- The equation :

$$eX - (p-u)(q-v)Y = 1.$$

- The method :

- The continued fraction algorithm.
- Lenstra'ECM .
- The Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovasz LLL algorithm. or the Ferguson PSLQ algorithm.
- Coppersmith's method.

- The size of such keys :

$$\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}\right).$$

Comparison

Wiener's attack

- The equation :

$$ed - (p-1)(q-1)k = 1.$$

- The method :

The continued fraction algorithm

- The size of such keys :

$$\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\frac{1}{4}}\right).$$

The new attack

- The equation :

$$eX - (p-u)(q-v)Y = 1.$$

- The method :

- The continued fraction algorithm.
- Lenstra'ECM .
- The Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovasz LLL algorithm. or the Ferguson PSLQ algorithm.
- Coppersmith's method.

- The size of such keys :

$$\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}\right).$$

Comparison

Wiener's attack

- The equation :

$$ed - (p-1)(q-1)k = 1.$$

- The method :

The continued fraction algorithm

- The size of such keys :

$$\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\frac{1}{4}}\right).$$

The new attack

- The equation :

$$eX - (p-u)(q-v)Y = 1.$$

- The method :

- The continued fraction algorithm.
- Lenstra'ECM .
- The Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovasz LLL algorithm. or the Ferguson PSLQ algorithm.
- Coppersmith's method.

- The size of such keys :

$$\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}\right).$$

Comparison

Wiener's attack

- The equation :

$$ed - (p-1)(q-1)k = 1.$$

- The method :

The continued fraction algorithm

- The size of such keys :

$$\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\frac{1}{4}}\right).$$

The new attack

- The equation :

$$eX - (p-u)(q-v)Y = 1.$$

- The method :

- The continued fraction algorithm.
- Lenstra'ECM .
- The Lenstra-Lenstra-Lovasz LLL algorithm. or the Ferguson PSLQ algorithm.
- Coppersmith's method.

- The size of such keys :

$$\mathcal{O}\left(N^{\frac{1}{2}-\epsilon}\right).$$

Thank you for your attention

Merci

شكراً